

Vaccines

Dr. Scott Paton

This is perhaps one of the most hot button issues one could broach regarding healthcare and is certain to stir up a great deal of debate. One thing I would hope everyone understands is that a healthy body is the best defense to a bacterial or viral intrusion, not necessarily a vaccinated body. Most people have bought into two main myths and they are; 1)an unvaccinated person will cause the rampant spread of disease and 2)without the required vaccinations, we'll contract some terrible disease. Perhaps the most unfortunate thing is that vaccines get all of the credit for preventing disease in America when it's really a healthy body.

Before I address the two myths, you need to understand the basics. According to the American Academy of Pediatrics, by the time a child reaches age 6, they've had 32 separate vaccinations. By the time they're 18 years old they've had 14 more needle jabs, reaching a grand total of 46 separate vaccinations before they turn 18. This is an absurd number and it should make all of us sick enough to write our state representatives and congressmen and women. According to a chart from the cdc that was posted on the generation rescue website, in 1983 there were only 11 vaccines required by the time a person reached 18 years of age.

Think about it. Did you hear of any children with autism, juvenile rheumatoid arthritis, lupus, and M.S. before the late 1980s? The late 1980s and early 1990s is when big pharma really began pushing additional vaccinations. That's also the same time that autism rates skyrocketed.

Now let's take a look at the myths starting with number one: an unvaccinated person will cause the rampant spread of disease. If you were born prior to the age of 1983, then you've had 35 LESS injections of vaccines than a person born in the year 2009. Included in the 35 were multiple doses of **10 brand new vaccines** that you've never had. Does this make you a risk to society? After all, you've NEVER been vaccinated with these new vaccines so I guess you're just as dangerous to society than any unvaccinated child, right? Wrong. If this were true, we'd have pandemics of disease that would have already spread all over the United States. If you really thought that vaccines were life savers, you better roll up your sleeve the next time you bring your child to be injected and let the pediatrician know that you need to get caught up on every new vaccine that you never had. If you don't do this, and you allow your child to go through the pain of an injection, ask yourself, am I being a hypocrite, should I practice what I preach?.

Knowing this, is there any reason to discuss the second myth?

Check out the vaccine adverse event reporting system and click on vaers data to see the long list of side effects. This list merely represents a small fraction of all of the adverse effects that really occur.

There are so many new conditions that are popping up in children that they never had prior to the barrage of vaccines in the late 1980s. ADHD, autism and autoimmune diseases are occurring in unprecedented numbers in children. Currently, medicine hasn't any clue what causes autoimmune conditions, ADHD or autism. Some examples of autoimmune conditions are lupus, rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis, to name a few.

I have a personal belief of why these conditions are occurring so often in children. Their genetic makeup causes an adverse reaction to the constituents (poisons) in a vaccine. This adverse reaction causes the immune system to malfunction and begin to attack the body. You might be asking, how could you say that some kids have a genetic arrangement that reacts with the vaccine when other kids don't? That's a great question, and I have a great response. Have you ever wondered why when children get shots, some show no reaction, some get redness around the injection site, some get fevers and others get fevers and seizures? If the genetic arrangement of every child was the same, there would be identical reactions to the vaccines. How is it that some children show no signs of injection and others get hospitalized for seizures? It's simple, the child who had the seizures had a reaction to the vaccine. If having a seizure, which is a dangerous reaction, is occurring on the exterior part of the body then what do you suppose is happening on the inside of the body? Need I say more?

Regarding autism, most doctors suggest that there's no scientific evidence that vaccines cause autism. That may be true, but there's no scientific evidence that vaccines cause seizures either, yet it does. Having scientific evidence merely means that there was a certain amount of people in a given population that differed from the rest of the population. That amount of people that differed would need to be large enough to be considered statistically significant. Picture a group of 1000 people that were studied. After the data for the study was collected, each person in the study (called the population) is represented by a dot on a piece of paper, making 1000 dots. Assuming the dots were placed on a graph, the majority would have some type of order or organization to them. You'd be able to trace a circle around the majority of them. The ones that were outside of the circle, we can call outliers. If there are enough outliers, we can say that there is statistical significance in the data.

Regarding seizures, there aren't enough kids that get seizures to create statistical significance in the data. So if a doctor was interviewed and asked, do vaccines cause seizures? He would respond by saying, there's no statistical or empirical evidence that suggests that vaccines cause seizures. Even though there are parents out there who saw their child get vaccinated, within hours watched their kids swell up and turn red and eventually get a fever followed by a seizure, there's no empirical evidence to suggest that vaccines cause seizures. The majority of studies available regarding autism are not reliable and considered junk science. One can manipulate statistics in thousands of ways to make the data appear more favorable. The bottom line is for parents to start being more proactive in their child's well being.